1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	TOMIO B. NARITA (SBN 156576) JEFFREY A. TOPOR (SBN 195545) SIMMONDS & NARITA LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3010 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 283-1000 Facsimile: (415) 352-2625 tnarita@snllp.com itopor@snllp.com Attorneys for Defendants Wolpoff & Abramson LLP and MBNA America Bank, N.A.	IT IS SO ORDERED Judge James Ware Judge James Ware
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	JOHN GORMAN,) CASE NO.: C-04-4507 JW
13	Plaintiff,)) JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT) CONFEDENCE STATEMENT
14	VS.) CONFERENCE STATEMENT)
15	WOLPOFF & ABRAMSON, LLP; MBNA AMERICA BANK, N.A., and) AND ORDER VACATING CASE) MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE)
16	Does 1 through 100, inclusive,))
17	Defendants.))
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Pursuant to this Court's Order dated February 4, 2009, plaintiff JOHN GORMAN and defendant MBNA America Bank, N.A. ("MBNA") hereby submit the following Joint Case Management Conference Statement:

On January 12, 2009, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued its opinion affirming in part and reversing in part this Court's Order of June 23, 2006. *See Gorman v. Wolpoff & Abramson, LLP*, 552 F.3d 1008 (9th Cir. 2009).

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 41(b), absent an order shortening or extending the time, the Ninth Circuit's mandate "must issue 7 calendar days after the time to file a petition for rehearing expires, or 7 calendar days after entry of an order denying a timely petition for penal hearing, petition for rehearing en banc, or motion for stay of mandate, whichever is later." The Ninth Circuit did not issue an order shortening or extending the time for the issuance of the mandate.

On January 27, 2009, the Ninth Circuit issued an Order granting MBNA an extension of time until February 25, 2009 to file a Petition for Panel Rehearing and Rehearing En Banc. *See* Exhibit A, hereto.

On February 2, 2009, the Ninth Circuit issued an Order granting Gorman an extension of time until February 25, 2009 to file a Petition for Panel Rehearing and Rehearing En Banc. *See* Exhibit B, hereto.

On February 24, 2009, Gorman filed in the Ninth Circuit his Petition for Panel Rehearing.

On February 25, 2009, MBNA filed in the Ninth Circuit its Petition for Panel Rehearing and Rehearing En Banc.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 41(d)(1), the "timely filing of a petition for panel rehearing [or] petition for rehearing en banc . . . stays the mandate until disposition of the petition . . . unless the court orders otherwise."

The Ninth Circuit has not yet ruled on the parties' Petitions for Panel Rehearing and Rehearing En Banc, nor has it issued its mandate.

1	Until the Ninth Circuit issues its mandate, this Court lacks jurisdiction over this	
2	matter. See Caldwell v. Puget Sound Apprenticeship & Training Trust, 824 F.2d 765, 767	
3	(9th Cir. 1987).	
4	Accordingly, the parties jointly request that the Court vacate its February 4, 2009	
5	Order and vacate the March 9, 2009 Case Management Conference, with the understanding	
6	that a Case Management Conference will be reset upon issuance of an appropriate mandate	
7	restoring jurisdiction to the District Court.	
8		
9	DATED: February 27, 2009 SIMMONDS & NARITA LLP TOMIO B. NARITA	
10 11	JEFFREY A. TOPOR	
12	By: /s/ Jeffrey A. Topor	
13	Tomio B. Narita Attorney for Defendants	
14	Wolpoff & Abramson, L.L.P and MBNA America Bank, N.A.	
15	America Bank, 14.71.	
16	DATED: February 27, 2009 GORMAN & MILLER, PC	
17	JOHN C. GORMAN CHARLES STIEGLER	
18		
19	By: _/s/ John C. Gorman	
20	John C. Gorman Attorney for Plaintiff	
21	John C. Gorman	
22	*** ORDER ***	
23		
24	currently set for March 9, 2009. The Court will set another conference date once the mandate is	
25		
26		
27	Dated: March 3, 2009	
28	JAMES WARE United States District Court	
I		